Background: The purpose of this study was to longitudinally evaluate, over a 3-year period, the reduction of gingival recession through use of a subepithelial free connective tissue graft placed under a coronally advanced partial-thickness pedicle flap.

Methods: Twenty-one buccal recession defects (mean 3.67 mm; range 3 to 4.5 mm; Miller Class I, II, and III) in 15 patients were treated using this technique. Amount of gingival recession (GR), clinical attachment loss (CAL), and width of keratinized gingiva (WKG) were followed for 3 years after surgery. The measurements were performed at presurgery, and 1, 3, 6, 12, 18, 24, and 36 months postsurgery.

Results: GR decreased from 3.67 ± 0.58 mm at baseline to 0.33 ± 0.43 mm at 36 months, representing a reduction of 3.33 mm, corresponding to 91.28% mean root coverage. CAL was significantly decreased at 36 months from 5.26 ± 0.77 mm to 2.14 ± 0.57 mm. At 36 months, 3.12 mm of attachment gain was obtained. WKG significantly increased after 36 months (1.95 mm). GR, CAL, and WKG had the most positive outcomes at 12 months and were maintained at stable levels throughout the 36-month observation period.

Conclusions: These results indicate that the connective tissue graft with a partial thickness coronal advancement pedicle is a predictable method for root coverage and, provided that optimal maintenance care is provided, the clinical outcomes gained by this technique can be well maintained.

Covering exposed root surfaces has become an integral part of surgical periodontal practice. Indications for root coverage procedures include esthetic concerns of patients, root sensitivity, root caries, and lack of keratinized gingiva.1 Many different surgical approaches including pedicle grafts,2-5 free gingival grafts,6-10 free connective tissue grafts,9-24 and guided tissue regeneration23-28 have been shown to result in effective root coverage. Each of these techniques has its advantages and disadvantages, indications and contraindications, and varying degrees of successful outcomes.

A major concern associated with free gingival grafts is the color discrepancy between the graft and surrounding tissue that has been described as a “keloid-like” appearance.12,29 Pedicle grafts including a laterally or coronally positioned flap can produce a more esthetic result. However, these procedures are indicated only when there is adequate donor tissue adjacent to the defect.29,30 More recently, guided tissue regeneration (GTR) using non-resorbable24-26 or bioabsorbable23,27,28 membranes has been introduced in the treatment of gingival recession. However, membrane exposure, a common occurrence, has been associated with significant reduction in root coverage.24 The utilization of GTR also incurs additional cost to the root coverage procedure.

The use of a connective tissue graft combined with a coronally positioned
pedicle,\textsuperscript{12,15,16,} laterally positioned pedicle,\textsuperscript{13} double pedicle,\textsuperscript{13,14,19-21,23} envelope flap,\textsuperscript{9,11,22} or tunneling technique\textsuperscript{17,18} has been shown to produce predictable and esthetic root coverage in multiple clinical studies. Many of these studies, however, lack long-term postoperative follow-up data.

The purpose of this study was to longitudinally evaluate, over a 3-year period, the reduction of gingival recession through a subepithelial free connective tissue graft placed under a coronally advanced partial-thickness pedicle flap.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Population**

Fifteen healthy subjects, 22 to 44 (mean 30.5) years of age, were recruited for this study. The 9 male and 6 female patients had requested a surgical root coverage procedure for esthetic reasons; they presented a total of 21 recession sites.

The inclusion criteria were: 1) non-compromised systemic health; no contraindication for periodontal surgery; and no current smoking; 2) no periodontal surgery during the previous 24 months in involved sites; 3) presence of gingival recession ≥3 mm; 4) presence of identifiable cemento-enamel junction (CEJ); and 5) presence of tooth vitality and lack of dental restorations in involved areas.

All subjects gave their informed consent for participating in this 3-year clinical study. Oral hygiene instructions were provided to eliminate habits which may have caused recession, such as harsh brushing, use of stiff bristles, etc. At least 2 sessions of prophylaxis were performed to remove microbial deposits from the root surfaces and to assess the patient’s ability to maintain proper oral hygiene.

**Surgical Procedure**

Root coverage was surgically accomplished with a connective tissue graft combined with a partial-thickness pedicle, a modification of the Langer and Langer technique.\textsuperscript{12} All surgical procedures were performed by the same surgeon (YML).

Upon induction of local anesthesia, the exposed root surface was carefully planed with curets. A partial-thickness flap was created with 2 vertical incisions placed at least one-half to one tooth wider mesiodistally than the area of gingival recession. Horizontal incisions were placed at the level of the CEJ. The coronal margin of the flap was initiated with a sulcular incision in order to preserve existing gingiva over the root surface. The interdental papillae were left intact. Flap reflection was carried out as partial thickness, leaving periosteum and connective tissue over the existing bone and/or root surface intact.

A connective tissue graft was then obtained from the palate. The donor area was restricted to the area between the maxillary first molar and maxillary cuspid. Two parallel horizontal incisions, 1 to 1.5 mm apart, were made approximately 5 mm from the palatal gingival margins of maxillary teeth to the desired width. Vertical incisions were made, if needed, to provide greater access. The graft tissue of at least 1 mm in thickness was harvested and trimmed. The epithelial border of the graft was discarded.

The connective tissue was then sutured onto the recipient bed, using 5-0 gut sutures at the corner of the harvested graft to secure it to the recipient site. Overlying suspension sutures were utilized over the graft when more intimate contact between the graft and recipient bed was necessary. The partial-thickness recipient flap was positioned and sutured coronally to cover as much of the graft as possible, again using 5-0 gut sutures. No attempt was made to completely cover the graft in order to avoid unnecessary tension in the facial vestibule.

The recipient site was dressed with periodontal packing material,§ and the patient was instructed on postsurgical management. The patients were recalled on the seventh postoperative day to remove the dressing and sutures. All patients were placed on 0.2% chlorhexidine digluconate\textsuperscript{6} b.i.d for 4 weeks. Professional prophylaxis was carried out weekly for the first month and thereafter at 3-month intervals.

**Clinical Measurements**

Recordings of clinical data were made immediately before the surgical treatment and at 1, 3, 6, 12, 18, 24, and 36 months postsurgery. Prior to the study, 2 examiners (YJS and ICR) were calibrated to reduce intra- and interexaminer error (kappa >0.75) to establish reliability and consistency. Recording of data was carried out by the same examiner in the same patient in all clinical examinations.

For evaluation of oral hygiene and gingival health, the plaque index (PI)\textsuperscript{31} and gingival index (GI)\textsuperscript{32} were employed. Probing measurements were performed using a standard periodontal probe with 1 mm incremental markings and measured to the nearest 0.5 mm. Gingival recession (GR) was measured between the most apical point of the facial CEJ to the most apical point of the facial gingival margin. Clinical attachment level (CAL) was measured between the CEJ and base of the sulcus; the width of keratinized gingiva (WKG) was measured from the most apical point of the gingival margin to the mucogingival junction after staining alveolar mucosa with an aqueous solution of 10% potassium iodide and 5% iodine. All measurements were made at the experimental sites.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Percent root coverage (%) was
Distribution of 21 Recession Sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tooth</th>
<th>Maxilla</th>
<th>Mandible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Miller Class</td>
<td>Miller Class</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incisors</td>
<td>I  II  III</td>
<td>I  II  III</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canines</td>
<td>0  0  0</td>
<td>0  2  1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premolars</td>
<td>5  2  0</td>
<td>2  0  0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3  2  0</td>
<td>3  1  0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1.

Subpedicle Connective Tissue Graft for Root Coverage

Distribution of 21 Recession Sites
Figure 1.
A. Preoperative recessions, upper right canine and first premolar. B. Reflection of partial-thickness pedicle flap. C. Connective tissue graft sutured into recipient site. D. Pedicle flap sutured over connective tissue graft and denuded root surfaces. E. Postoperative at 1 month; F. 6 months; G. 12 months; and H. 36 months.

Figure 2.
A. Preoperative recession, lower left central incisor. B. Recession at creeping attachment starting point (1-month postoperative). C. Postoperative 36 months: coronal migration of the gingival margin is evident at the lower left central incisor. Fractured incisal edge seen in A and B was restored with resin at 6 weeks postsurgery.
at 1 month compared to presurgery, and then continuously decreased up to 12 months. Even with slight rebound of GR and CAL after 12 months, the amounts were minimal and there were no statistically significant differences between the values of GR and CAL at any time interval after 12 months. WKG was also significantly increased at 1 month after surgery and then remained stable to 36 months.

Creeping attachment was first described by Goldman et al.\textsuperscript{34} as the “positive migration of the gingival margin in a coronal direction over a previously denuded root. This migration is often seen to continue for long periods postoperatively until a constant marginal level is reached.” While the amount of creeping attachment is minimal (in general, <1 mm), the clinical importance can be significant because the presence of this factor adds to the predictability of a procedure. Harris\textsuperscript{21} reported that creeping attachment seems to occur commonly after connective tissue grafts. He selected the 4-week postoperative appointment as the creeping attachment starting point, based on his previous findings. In his study, 21 of 22 cases (95.5%) showed creeping attachment, and the mean attachment obtained was 0.8 mm over an 8-week period. In the present study, 0.55 mm of creeping attachment was observed at 36 months after surgery and had occurred in 16 of 22 sites (72.7%) when compared to 1-month postsurgery.

The literature is limited as to when and if creeping attachment ever stops, how it progresses, and the ideal point to evaluate it. The recommendation that 1 year is the ideal period for evaluation is based on studies involving free gingival grafts.\textsuperscript{6,7} In the present study, creeping attachment is highest at 12 months after grafting. After 18 months, the amount of creeping attachment tended to decrease slightly, but there was no significant difference for any time interval from 6 to 36 months. Harris\textsuperscript{21} suggests that 6 to 9 months rather than 1 year is more likely when a connective tissue

---

**Table 2.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>PI (0-3)</th>
<th>GI (0-3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Table 3.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>GR (mm)</th>
<th>GR reduction from baseline</th>
<th>Root coverage (%)</th>
<th>Number of completely covered sites</th>
<th>Creeping attachment (mm) from 1 month after surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>3.67 ± 0.58</td>
<td>2.79 ± 0.49</td>
<td>76.31 ± 10.45</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.42*</td>
<td>3.12 ± 0.52</td>
<td>85.66 ± 10.62</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.35‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.44†</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.53</td>
<td>91.25 ± 8.43</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.35§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.33‡†</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.52</td>
<td>92.75 ± 9.55</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.44‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.37‡†</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.52</td>
<td>92.75 ± 9.55</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.51‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.37‡†</td>
<td>3.36 ± 0.57</td>
<td>92.07 ± 11.47</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.52‡§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.43*†</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.60</td>
<td>91.28 ± 11.47</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.43*†</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.60</td>
<td>91.28 ± 11.47</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*P <0.01: Significantly different from baseline (repeated measures ANOVA).
†P <0.01: Significantly different from 1 month.
‡P <0.05: Significantly different from 3 months.
§P >0.05: No significant difference between any 2 values at 6, 12, 18, 24, and 36 months.
with pedicle flap is used, because there are no significant changes between the amounts of creeping attachment seen at any time interval after 26 to 38 weeks in his studies. Further studies may provide more detailed information in this area.

In this study, no conditioning agent was employed in root surface treatment during surgery. Many investigators have utilized citric acid\textsuperscript{9,15,16} or tetracycline\textsuperscript{16,19-24} solutions in root surface biomodification, in the belief that removing smear layer and exposing collagen fibrils would aid in better reattachment of grafted tissue. However, Bouchard et al.\textsuperscript{15} failed to show the benefit of citric acid conditioning in root coverage with subepithelial connective tissue grafts. In another report,\textsuperscript{16} they failed to demonstrate a difference between tetracycline and citric acid conditioning in root coverage therapy. At present, there is insufficient human biopsy material or histologic evidence available to determine the quality of wound healing following root conditioning with tetracycline or citric acid.

The ultimate goal in periodontal therapy is regeneration. Thus, the ideal objective is the establishment of a new connective tissue attachment with fibers inserting into new bone and new cementum on a previously diseased root surface. However, animal\textsuperscript{35} and human\textsuperscript{36} histologic evaluations revealed that true regeneration was not seen in root coverage obtained with a connective tissue graft. Biopsy studies\textsuperscript{35,36} indicated that the attachment of the graft to the root surface appeared to be mediated by a combination of epithelial downgrowth and connective tissue attachment, and that there is little potential for new cementum and new bone formation. However, despite the histologic findings, the connective tissue graft is still an effective method to obtain root coverage. The results seen are adequate by clinical parameters and seem stable over a 3-year period, as demonstrated in this study.

In conclusion, the connective tissue graft with a partial-thickness coronal advancement pedicle is a predictable method for root coverage and, provided that optimal maintenance care is provided, the clinical outcomes gained by this technique can be well maintained over a 3-year period.
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